Clarifying the law
This factsheet explains:
• What end of life law is
• The role of end of life law in aged care practice
• The Australian legal system
• Key end of life laws relevant to aged care practice
• Where to go for information about State and Territory end of life laws

What is end of life law?
Broadly, end of life law covers legal issues that are relevant to medical decisions made at the end of life.

There are different views across the palliative, aged care, medical and other health sectors about what ‘end of life’ means. However, end of life law relates to decisions that happen in the ‘last days and months of life’, as well as the planning and decision-making that happens well before this, including before a person has an illness or injury.

What is the role of end of life law in aged care practice?
The law establishes a broad framework for end of life decision-making and Advance Care Planning.

Health professionals, including those working in the aged care sector, play important legal roles. For example, in aged care you may be called upon to:
• decide whether to follow a person’s Advance Care Directive;
• identify the substitute decision-maker for a person who lacks capacity;
• decide whether life-sustaining treatment can lawfully be withheld or withdrawn;
• determine the appropriate level of pain and symptom relief that can be given to an aged care recipient approaching the end of life; and
• decide whether or not to provide emergency treatment, or transfer a person to hospital.

The law also establishes processes for resolving disputes, for example, with families and substitute decision-makers.

Why is it important to know end of life law?
Knowing the law can improve your aged care practice. For example, understanding the law can:
• Help you to manage difficult situations that arise in your practice. A common example is uncertainty about whether it is lawful to provide palliative medication to a person for pain and symptom relief. Knowing the law can help you understand what action is allowed, and enable you to provide appropriate care.
• **Reduce your legal risk.** Better legal knowledge can help you to act lawfully, and reduce the risk of criminal or civil liability.

• **Improve your communication** with individuals, their families and substitute decision-makers. Disputes about treatment decisions can often arise because of different understandings about the law.

• **Enhance your confidence and ability to support people receiving aged care** and their families, or your colleagues where legal issues arise. An example is a resident seeking your help to undertake Advance Care Planning.

---

**End of life law in Australia**

End of life law can be complex. The law that applies may differ depending on whether or not a person has capacity. The law also differs across Australia, as each State and Territory has its own law about key areas of end of life e.g. guardianship and medical treatment legislation.

Law in Australia comes from two main sources: **common law** (law made by judges in court decisions) and **statute law** (legislation made by Commonwealth, State and Territory parliaments).

---

**Overview: Key areas of end of life law**

**Capacity and consent to medical treatment**

Every adult has the right to decide what is or is not done to their bodies. **For medical treatment to be lawful, a person must consent to it.**

If that treatment is given without consent, the doctor (or whoever provides it) may be civilly and criminally liable. An exception to this is if the treatment is provided in an emergency.

Consent to treatment is only valid if the person has ‘capacity’ or is ‘competent’ to consent. The **consent must be given freely and voluntarily, and it must relate to the proposed treatment.**

Every adult is presumed to have capacity to make their own medical treatment and health care decisions. **To have capacity the person must be able to:**

- comprehend and retain the information needed to make the decision, including the consequences of the decision; and

- use and weigh that information as part of their decision-making process.
A person without those abilities will lack capacity for medical decision-making, and will not be able to make treatment decisions themselves. In that situation, there are three ways in which decisions can be made by or for them:

- Before they lost capacity, the person may have made an **Advance Care Directive** which provides directions about medical treatment.
- A **substitute decision-maker** can make the decision, generally based on what they believe the person would have wanted, and their best interests.
- A **tribunal or the Supreme Court** can provide consent or make a treatment decision.

**Advance Care Directives**

An Advance Care Directive is an instruction that a person makes now in the event that they might lose capacity in the future to make decisions about their medical treatment or health care. There are two types of Advance Care Directives:

- **common law Advance Care Directives** governed by the common law (i.e. decisions made by the Courts), and
- **Statutory Advance Care Directives** governed by State and Territory legislation.

Advance Care Directives can be used by a person to communicate specific instructions about types of treatment, including to request or refuse treatment (e.g. refusing a blood transfusion or cardiopulmonary resuscitation), or their preferences (e.g. not wanting to die in hospital).

Some **Advance Care Directives can also be used to appoint a substitute decision-maker**. Generally, health professionals must follow a valid and applicable Advance Care Directive (including a directive that refuses life-sustaining treatment) and may be liable under civil and criminal law if they do not.

**Substitute decision-making**

A person who has capacity can plan for a later time when they may lose capacity by appointing someone to be their substitute decision-maker. The substitute decision-maker stands in the shoes of the person to make the decision about medical treatment.

If a person cannot make their own decision about medical treatment and they do not have an Advance Care Directive, **guardianship legislation in each State and Territory** sets out an order of who can be the substitute decision-maker (e.g. a spouse, family member or friend, or a statutory body, such as the Public Guardian or a court or tribunal).

**Withholding and withdrawing life-sustaining treatment**

It is lawful for a person with capacity to refuse medical treatment. **Health professionals must respect a person’s decision to refuse treatment**, and, if directed to, they can legally withhold (not start treatment) or withdraw (stop treatment already started) life-sustaining treatment, even if this might result in the person’s death.

It can also be lawful for a person’s substitute decision-maker to ask that life-sustaining treatment be withheld or withdrawn from the person if they can no longer make treatment decisions themselves.

**Medication for pain and symptom relief for people with a life-limiting illness (palliative medication)**

Palliative medication is often given to a person with a life-limiting illness who is experiencing pain or symptoms, to maintain or improve their comfort. In some cases, **palliative medication may have the unintended effect of hastening the person’s death**. If this occurs, the person who provided the medication (usually a doctor or nurse) will not be liable for the person’s death so long as their intention was to relieve pain or symptoms, and not to hasten death.
This legal protection forms part of the common law in Australia, and is sometimes known as the doctrine of double effect. Some Australian States and Territories have incorporated this doctrine into legislation.

Providing palliative medication which ultimately hastens death is not euthanasia or assisted suicide if the intention in giving the palliative medication is to reduce pain or symptoms, not cause or hasten death.

It is also lawful for a person with capacity to refuse food and drink (either naturally or through artificial measures such as a tube) even if that results in death.

Futile or non-beneficial treatment

Futile or non-beneficial treatment is often used to describe treatment which is of no benefit, cannot achieve its purpose, or is not in the person’s best interests.

Doctors decide whether or not treatment is futile on a case-by-case basis, and may withhold or withdraw treatment that is futile or non-beneficial. They have no obligation to provide futile treatment that is not in the person’s best interests, or is inconsistent with good medical practice.

A person or their substitute decision-maker cannot require or demand that futile treatment be given. Their consent is not needed to withhold or withdraw it. A request for futile treatment in an Advance Care Directive need not be followed.

Queensland’s law is different when the person lacks capacity. There, a substitute decision-maker’s consent is needed to withhold or withdraw treatment, even if it is futile.

In rare cases, treatment disputes have been considered by courts and tribunals. The primary consideration in those cases is the person’s best interests. Courts and tribunals generally agree with clinical assessments of futility.

Emergency medical treatment

Generally, it is lawful for a health professional or aged care worker to provide emergency treatment if there is an urgent need for treatment e.g. to save a person’s life, prevent serious damage to health, or prevent significant pain and distress.

It may still be possible, before emergency treatment is provided, to obtain consent either from a person with capacity, or their substitute decision-maker if the person lacks capacity.

Emergency treatment cannot be provided if it has been lawfully refused:

- by the person themselves if they have capacity,
- in a valid Advance Care Directive, or
- by a substitute decision-maker.

If an aged care recipient with capacity states they do not want to go to hospital for emergency treatment, or refuses treatment, their request should be respected. This is the case even if they require life-sustaining treatment, and will die without it. A health professional who provides treatment contrary to a lawful refusal commits an assault on the person.

Some States and Territories have forms to guide clinical decision-making about cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in emergencies e.g. Resuscitation Plans. Whether or not these should be followed depend on the laws of your State or Territory.

Managing disputes

End of life decision-making can be challenging for everyone involved in a person’s care, and sometimes disagreements can occur. In aged care, these disputes generally arise when there is disagreement between an aged care worker or GP and a person, their family or substitute decision-maker about a treatment decision.
Most disagreements about medical treatment can be resolved through good communication and timely dispute resolution processes within aged care settings. When a dispute cannot be resolved within aged care, advice or assistance may be sought from State and Territory guardianship bodies such as the Public Advocate or Public Guardian, or a lawyer.

State and Territory tribunals are able to hear disputes about end of life medical treatment. Their powers, the orders they may make, and how they make decisions vary depending on the guardianship and medical treatment legislation of the State or Territory.

The State and Territory Supreme Courts also have powers to resolve disputes about medical treatment at the end of life. When making a decision for adults who lack capacity, the courts’ paramount consideration is the person’s best interests.

Learn more about end of life law
For further information visit:
• the ELDAC Legal Toolkit for factsheets, myth busters and cases studies on each topic above. (https://www.eldac.com.au/tabid/4902/Default.aspx)
• End of Life Law in Australia, a website to assist the community to navigate end of life law, and to access information about the law in each Australian State and Territory. (https://end-of-life.qut.edu.au/)